

ATTACHMENT to appeal from Calvin Harvey

Re: Development Permit 21D 053

Intensive Vegetation – Agricultural Processing & Distribution

We are appealing the permit 21D 053 for the following reasons:

1. Water Consumption Issues
2. Noise and Traffic Issues
3. Processing and Extraction Facility Issues

Reading the applicant's "Application for Development Permit" left us with a lot of unanswered questions and the recurring use of the vague words "contemplated," "estimated," "anticipated" and "at this time" throughout the application (rather than firm commitments) leads us to worry that, if approved, other and more intrusive business practices will emerge over time, as we have experienced previously with other applicants in our small part of Foothills County.

1. Water consumption

Water usage, and the impact on wells, is a longstanding issue in this area (see pages 2 & 3, "Historical background regarding water wells in this area"). The water usage figure in this application – 30 gallons per minute – in itself, poses a significant load on the groundwater and well capacity in the immediate and surrounding area.

In our research of hemp crop production, the applicant's 30 gallons per minute water usage number seems to be overly conservative and limited to a single purpose (field irrigation), with water usage in other aspects of this project not accounted for.

To validate the applicant's water usage amount of 30 gallons per minute, the following clarification is required:

- The applicant has not specified how many acres of the 85-acre parcel will be planted, nor has he indicated the number of seedlings per acre. This is fundamental for an accurate estimate of water usage.
- For an estimate of water usage during the nursery stage, we need to know how many seedlings will be in the grow houses and how much water will be required to bring these seedlings to the transplanting stage. The applicant has not provided these details.
- Then we ask how much water does the transplanting process require when using the waterwheel transplanter? Again, there is no indication of number of seedlings or water usage per acre for this equipment.
- In the absence of the above information, we cannot know how much water is realistically required to bring the crop to maturity. The applicant has indicated 30 gallons per minute during the crop growing stage, which by itself, equates to 43,200 gallons per day or 197 cubic meters per day. However, he has not provided the basis on which this number was determined.

In addition to the plant growing aspect, the amount of water used in general operations (i.e. equipment washdown etc.) needs to be included. Also, how much water is used in the extraction of hemp oil? There is no reference to this at all.

Alberta Environment records indicate that precipitation in this area (Foothills) is an annual average of 12 to 18 inches. Our experience, of over fifty years, is that this area is somewhat drought prone. This year has been very dry, as was the previous year, and coupled with the high winds that blow through this valley, our fields dry out quickly.

Our hay field adjacent to the applicant's fields was bone dry this spring (dug down to 18 inches in various locations) although the recent showers have helped to start some growth.

The applicant indicates that he will use drip tape at 30 gallons per minute (43,200 gallons per day or 197 cubic meters per day) for two months, July and August, which is typically the driest time of the year. Our research indicates that industrial hemp cultivation requires 25 to 30 inches of annual rainfall, much more than the 12 to 18 inches we typically receive, which, if current weather patterns hold true, would mean irrigation will likely be required from May until September. This would equate to a minimum of 6,480,000 gallons or 29,458 cubic meters of water drawn from the applicant's well at 30 gallons per minute over a five-month period.

	IGPM	1 hour	1 day	1 month	2 months	5 months
Imperial Gallons	30.00	1,800.00	43,200.00	1,296,000.00	2,592,000.00	6,480,000.00
Cubic meters	0.14	8.18	196.39	5,891.74	11,783.47	29,458.68

Table showing water diversion in IGPM & cubic meters for different time periods.

Cubic meter	Imperial gallons	US Gallons
1.00	219.97	264.17
8.18	1,800.00	2,161.71
196.39	43,200.00	51,881.06
5,891.74	1,296,000.00	1,556,431.79
11,783.47	2,592,000.00	3,112,863.58
29,458.68	6,480,000.00	7,782,158.94

Table showing comparison of imperial gallons to US gallons.

The intermittent stream that runs through the applicant's property originates from a spring on our property. This spring runs year-round but the flow has decreased somewhat over the last few years. Is it the applicant's intention to use water from this stream?

Historical background regarding water wells in this area

Twenty years ago, we applied to the MD of Foothills 31 (Foothills County) for a development permit to build a small commercial greenhouse. We applied, as required, to Alberta Environment to divert up to a maximum of 46 cubic meters per day based on our well's tested

capacity of 7 gallons per minute. We would have used substantially less than that, in the 100 gallon per day range, using a proven water recycling method.

However, various neighbours wrote letters opposing our application (see attached letters), stating that our projected water usage (significantly less than 30 gallons per minute) would put undue strain on all wells in the area. We note that one of the letters is from the Martin family, who at that time owned the property currently in question.

So based on previous area concerns, we must in turn object to this applicant's development permit and the subsequent application for a commercial groundwater license from Alberta Environment. Why? Given the water consumption numbers the applicant has partially stated, as well as our calculations, the applicant's water requirements will be more than 196 cubic meters per day – more than five times what our well was tested at and over 430 times the amount of water we would have used on a daily basis. Since the objections to our application were based on water shortages which have not changed over time, surely this application must be declined.

2. Noise and Traffic

The number of vehicle trips per day has been stated by the applicant to be a maximum of 10. This number seems rather low considering the number of employees, contractors, product shipments, deliveries, waste removal and commercial customer visits.

As well, commercial trucks, forklifts and other mobile equipment with backup warning devices and lights will certainly add to noise and traffic. Will the number of daily trips and noise be monitored and enforced by Foothills Bylaw Officers?

3. Processing and Extraction Facility

Why is this application for development permit not considered a commercial enterprise? The applicant's hemp feedstock is agriculturally based but the process to extract hemp oil is a commercial process and best operated in a commercial district such as Aldersyde.

We observe that the hemp oil extraction aspect of the business is not referenced at all in the original letter (February 8) from Kristi Beunder, agent for the applicant. It is only after questions from Brenda Bartnik, Foothills Development Officer, that the scope of the project unfolds.

In the March 19 letter from Kristi Beunder, responding to these questions, non-committal terms are used – in fact “contemplated” is used three times in the one-paragraph answer to Question #4, which is supposed to provide assurances that the facility will not be a fibre processing plant. Upon further questioning, the March 31 email from Ms. Beunder finally quantifies the significant scope of the extraction/processing operation, which extends beyond primary agricultural use into a commercial process, with associated truck traffic for loading and transport. It is unclear whether the 10 trips referenced above in Item 2 (“Noise and Traffic”) include traffic associated with the processing/extraction facility.


Also, no information is provided regarding hours of operation, number of employees or waste disposal associated with the processing/extraction facility.

It should be noted that the applicant uses the words “currently and “anticipated” in the sentence: “Currently, it is anticipated that only the crops grown on site will be processed on the site.” Does this leave the door open for the applicant to bring in and process materials from elsewhere at some later date?

In addition, best practice, is that crop rotation is required for hemp crops on average every four or five years. Does this mean the applicant will shut down their processing operation during the “off period”? Or, if there is a poor crop yield, will the applicant shut the extraction facility down? Or, in either of these cases will they import feedstock from elsewhere to keep the processing/extraction facility operating?

Thank you for your attention to our concerns.

Signed,

A black rectangular box redacting the signature of Calvin Harvey.

Calvin Harvey /

Mr. & Mrs. George Martin and Mr. & Mrs. Russell Martin
NE/4-21-21-29 W4
R.R.#1
DeWinton, Alberta
T0L 0X0

Alberta Environment
Natural Resources Services – Bow Region
2nd Floor, 3115 – 12 Street N.E.,
Calgary, Alberta
T2E 7J2



September 27, 1999

RE: File #00074932 Cal Harvey

With regards to the above applications under the Water Act, we believe that we will be directly affected.

We would protest and ask that the application be denied for the following reasons:

The requested application of water removal from the well appears to be a very large amount, 10,000 +/- gallons per day on average. This could affect the water supply, not only to us, but also to the many other owners surrounding the Harvey land. A water withdrawal of this magnitude at this prescribed depth could affect the spring as well.

Water from this spring flows through our property which has been in the family for over 100 years. Our cattle get water from this creek most months of the year. If water supplies are damaged for Mr. Harvey's personal benefit then we question what will happen to the residents and farms that depend on well water for their day to day living and farming requirements.

If you have any questions or require further information, please call either Mr. George Martin at 938-7895 or Mr. Russell Martin at 938-7797. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Yours truly

George Martin

Marion Martin

Russell Martin

Marion Martin

Handwritten signature

Mr. & Mrs. George Martin and Mr. & Mrs. Russell Martin
NE/4-21-21-29W4
R.R. # 1
DeWinton, Alberta
TOL OXO

To: Al Mcmillan (PLEASE FORWARD THIS COPY TO CLAUDE ECKERT)

NOVEMBER 8, 1999

Re: file # 00074932 (Cal Harvey) Application to explore for ground water.

We would like to thank Alberta Environment for responding to our concerns pertaining to this matter by letter as well as by phone. We invite you to come out to DeWinton so we can give you a tour of the immediate area. So you can get as much information possible to make the most informed decision.

We are opposed to the exploration for ground water for numerous reasons. It is the first step to a potentially damaging process. The process of one individual extracting up to 20 or more times the household average of a public finite resource is something we feel should be stopped. Data can be obtained from flow tests and this information could be interpreted as factual information when the science of reservoir engineering is anything but an exact science. My son completed a two-year reservoir-engineering course and worked in the field for 3.5 years and has consulted others trained in this field about this matter. The truth is nobody really knows what the outcome will be short term or long term. The impact of producing huge amounts of water could damage the environment being the water table/ground water as well as impact the lives of the local residents in a negative way. Whether it is one year or twenty years down the road, if there is a shortage of water it can affect the quality of life, lively hood, and property values of those in the area. This has a high consequence of error if the decision is made to go ahead and produce the water. I trust there is a panel of people who make this decision as opposed to the power being given to just one individual. Our family settled on this land in the early 1880's. In that time we have never tried to stop any development in the area. However we feel the "intent" behind the exploration of ground water could have large consequences. Most things are easier to stop at the very beginning, and have a higher success rate of stopping before they gain momentum. We have received no information about how Cal Harvey is going to explore for ground water. What procedure is going to be used? At the very least we would like assurance that any data produced not be submitted as evidence for a case of their being sufficient ground water to produce up to 16,724 cubic meters annually. That volume of water averages over 10,000 gallons per day does that mean some days will be 20,000 gallons? Who knows? However we prefer for the permit to explore for ground water to be denied.

We hope you will accept our invitation to come out to this area 6 minutes south of the city. We would like to give you a tour of the area so you can make a more informed decision, and get more familiar with the area and the possible impact of the decision. Thank you for responding to us and do not hesitate to call either household George at 938-7895 or Russell at 938-7797. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,


George Martin

Marion C. Martin


Russell Martin

Marion D. Martin

cc Claude Eckert

Sept 27, 1999

Fax (403) 297 2749

Alberta Environment

Natural Resources Service, Bow Region

Floor 2 3115 - 12 ST NE.

Calgary, AB T2E 7J2

Dear Sir

Re: Water Act Application File # 00074932

We live in the vicinity of the applicant and we are

opposed to this Application.

To us, this is a unjust request for this amount of
water. This could affect water wells for miles around;

"no one knows". Many Rural Dwellings could find them-
selves with out water one day as this definitely could

deplete the present water Table of the surrounding area.

We ask you to deny this request on these grounds

yours truly

Garry & Anne Glenn

Box 4 Site 1 RR 1

Delwinton, AB

Tel 080

Ann

Claude & Lydia D. Labre
Site 1, Box 15, R.R. #1
De Winton, AB
T0L 0X0

September 27th, 1999

Alberta Environment
Natural Resources Service - Bow Region
2nd Flr., 3115 - 12 Street N.E.
Calgary, AB T2E 7J2

Fax: 297 - 2749

Dear Sir or Madam:

Re: File #00074932


We are writing to express our grave concern regarding the application filed by Cal Harvey under the provisions of the Water Act.


His request to divert water up to a maximum of 16,724 cubic metres annually from a well on the land in NW 21-21-29W4 for Commercial purposes.

Since we depend on well water, and live in a lower lying elevation to the east of the east of this location (Plan 9110475, Lot 3, SE 28-21-29W4), we feel the availability of water may be seriously effected.

Please take our concerns into consideration.

Yours truly,


Claude Labre


Lydia D. Labre

10/1/99

Ridgewood Development Company Ltd.

Box 4, Site 5, RR 1 ■ DeWinton ■ Alberta ■ T0L 0X0 ■ Phone 938-0677 ■ Fax 938-0643

September 24, 1999

Alberta Environment
Natural Resources Service - Bow Region
Floor 2, 3115 - 12 St. NE
Calgary, Alberta
T2E 7J2



Dear Sir Madam,

Re: Notice of Application File # 00074932 (NW $\frac{1}{4}$ 21-21-29-W4M)

My development company is currently marketing Lot 3-5, Block B, Plan 9910867 (SW $\frac{1}{4}$ 28-21-29-W4M) for residential purposes and these lots are in close proximity to Cal Harvey's property. I have already sold Lot 2 and a home is currently being built on it. Please also note that the lots I am marketing are across the road from 5 more vacant residential lots that were developed in conjunction with my lots. These are owned by Irv Milner. The Ridgewood/Milner subdivision contains a total of 10 residential lots all with building envelopes and wells within one-half mile of the Harvey property. Immediately to the West of the Harvey property are three more residential lots including 2 vacant lots (NW $\frac{1}{4}$ 21-21-29-W4M) and to the NW (SW $\frac{1}{4}$ 28-21-29-W4M) are 8± more dwellings. Other residential lots exist to the East. There are a total of 9 vacant residential lots that I am aware of in close proximity to the Harvey property. All of these lots plus the Harvey residence and the residence associated with the existing greenhouse operation rely on, or once developed will rely on, groundwater.

I am very concerned with his application to explore for groundwater and divert up to 16,724 cubic meters of water annually for commercial purposes in the middle of a developing residential area. There already is a commercial operation (greenhouse) withdrawing significant amounts of groundwater immediately to the East of my subdivision and the addition of an additional commercial operation reliant on ground water a short distance from these lots is, in my view, inappropriate. As a result, I request that the above applications to both explore for, and withdraw, groundwater be refused.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Yours truly,

RIDGEWOOD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LTD.


Steve Hanhart ACP MCIP

Ham

Steve and Jola Hanhart

Box 4, Site 5, RR 1 ■ DeWinton ■ Alberta ■ T0L 0X0 ■ Phone 938-0677 ■ Fax 938-0643

ENV1.DOC

September 24, 1999

Alberta Environment
Natural Resources Service - Bow Region
Floor 2, 3115 - 12 St. NE
Calgary, Alberta
T2E 7J2




Dear Sir/Madam


Re: Notice of Application File # 00074932 (NW $\frac{1}{4}$ 21-21-29-W4M)

I reside of Lot 1, Block B, Plan 9910867 (SW $\frac{1}{4}$ 28-21-29-W4M) and am an immediate neighbor to Cal Harvey. I am very concerned with his application to explore for ground water and divert up to 16,724 cubic meters of water annually for commercial purposes. There already is a commercial operation (greenhouse) withdrawing significant amounts of groundwater immediately to the East of my property and the addition of an additional commercial operation reliant on ground water a short distance from my well is, in my view, a significant risk to my domestic water supply. My well is adequate for domestic purposes but it certainly is not capable of being used for anything more (we cannot water our lawn or use an unusual amount of domestic water in a given day). Any change in the characteristics of my well's production would have a significant impact on me and my family. As a result, I request that the above applications to both explore for, and withdraw, groundwater be refused.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Yours truly,


Steve Hanhart


Jola Hanhart

A handwritten signature in dark ink, possibly reading "Jola Hanhart", located to the right of the typed name.

SEPT. 28/99

FAX TO:

ALBERTA ENVIRONMENT

NATURAL RESOURCES SERVICE - BOW REGION
FLOOR 2, 3115-12 STREET, N.E.

CALGARY, AB T2E 7J2

FAX# 297-2749

ATTN: CLAUDE ECKERT

SUBJECT:

NW 21-21-29 W4 CAL HARVEY - WATER ACT

WE, FRANK & SANDRA STINGEL RESIDING ON
NW 22-21-29 W4 OBJECT TO THE EXPLORING FOR
GROUND WATER AND/OR ANY ATTEMPT TO
DIVERT WATER FOR THE PURPOSE OF USING
SAME, IN ANY AMOUNT, FOR COMMERCIAL
PURPOSES.

[REDACTED]
FRANK E. STINGEL[REDACTED]
SANDRA STINGELSITE 1, BOX 18, RRI
DEWINTON AB.
TOLYOXO

MEMO SENT BY FAX

Date: September 28, 1999

To: Alberta Environment

Natural Resources Service □ Bow Region

Floor 2, 3115 - 12 Street N.E.

Calgary, Alberta, T2E 7J2

FAX#(403)-297-2749

From: Serge Thibault

Site 12 Box 10 RR1

De Winton, Alberta

T0L 0X0

Home: 938-2884, Work: 503-7460

=====

Number of pages: 1 (includes cover sheet)

Comments: RE: File# 00074932 - Cal Harvey- Water Act- Notice of Application dated September 22, 1999 (Western Wheel).

We wish to express our opposition to the application for water exploration and diversion of water. Our concern is that the volume extracted could a) dry up the wells in the immediate neighbourhood and b) reduce the quality of the water.

[Redacted Signature Block]

Serge Thibault

Alain

WILSON & HURLBURT
BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS



1100 First Edmonton Place
10665 Jasper Avenue
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 3S9

Phone: (780) 423-0660
Fax: (780) 423-3899

If there is a problem with transmission or if all pages are not received, please call 423-0660 for retransmission.

File No: 990053

**TO: Natural Resources Service
Bow Region**

FAX No: (403) 297-2749

COMPANY: Alberta Environment

FROM: Keith Wilson

DATE: September 28, 1999

RE: File Number 0007 4932

Number of pages including this cover page: 3

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original to us by mail without making a copy. Thank you.

Comments:



Our File No. 990053
Your File No. 0007 4932

1100 First Edmonton Place
10665 Jasper Avenue
Edmonton, Alberta
Canada • T5J 3S9

Telephone: (780) 423-0660
Fax: (780) 423-3899

September 28, 1999

email: agrilaw@telusplanet.net

VIA FAX: (403) 297-2749

Alberta Environment
Natural Resources Service - Bow Region
Floor 2, 3115 - 12 Street NE
Calgary AB T2E 7J2

Dear Sir/Madam:

Re: File Number 0007 4932
Applications to Explore and Divert Water
Cal Harvey - NW 21-21-29-W4M

We represent Mr. Lee Nilsson on the above noted matter.

We are writing to you in response to the Public Notice published in the September 22, 1999 issue of the Western Wheel regarding applications submitted by Mr. Cal Harvey. The Public Notice set out that Mr. Harvey has applied for permission to explore for ground water on the NW 21-21-29-W4M and for a license to divert water up to a maximum of 16,724 cubic metres annually from a well on the NW 21-21-29-W4M for a greenhouse operation.

Mr. Nilsson owns and resides on property adjoining the land for which Mr. Harvey has submitted his applications. Mr. Nilsson's property contains two ground water wells. As a result, Mr. Nilsson is directly affected by Mr. Harvey's two applications under the *Water Act*.

Our client has no objection to Mr. Harvey's greenhouse operation. However, Mr. Nilsson is concerned with the quantity of the proposed diversion and the possible impact the amount of the proposed diversion will have on his water supply. As a result, we ask that the Department of Environment satisfy itself that the proposed exploration and diversion of water for Mr. Harvey's greenhouse operation will not impact on our client's water supply. If the exploration permit and license are granted, we ask that the

Sam



permit and license specify that the exploration and diversion are to cease if either of these impact on Mr. Nilsson's wells.

Please treat this letter as Mr. Nilsson's statement of concern regarding the applications for exploration and diversion of water on the NW 21-21-29-W4M.

If you require further information please call me or my associate, Jason Krips.

Yours truly,



KEITH WILSON
IKW/pb

Handwritten signature

September 27, 1999

Irv Milner
6310-53st, Olds, AB
T4H 1M2
ph. (403)556-7476

Alberta Environment
Natural Resources Service-Bow Region
Floor 2, 3115-12 st.N.E.
Calgary, Alberta
T2E 7J2



Dear Sir/Madam,


Re: Notice of Application File # 00074932 (NW1/4 21-21-29-W4M)

I am currently developing and will soon market Lot 8-12, Block B, Plan 9910867 (SW1/4 28-21-29-W4M) for residential purposes. I am concerned that my five lots, with five adequate wells, will be significantly impacted . . . by the Harvey commercial greenhouse proposal which plans to divert up to 16,724 cubic meters of water annually. There are several more residential lots in this immediate area which also rely on existing groundwater. Therefore, I request that the above application to search for and withdraw groundwater be refused.

Handwritten signature

Thank-you for your attention to this matter.

Yours truly,



Irv Milner

Faint, mostly illegible text, likely a carbon copy or a very light scan of the letter's body.

MERIT ENERGY LTD.

4000, 150-6th Avenue SW

Calgary AB

Phone: (403) 263-4899

Fax #: 263-4934

FAX

No. of Pages
(including cover)

2

TO: ALBERTA ENVIRONMENT - NATURAL RESOURCES SERVICE
Company: BOW REGION
Fax Number: (403) 297-2749
From: BIANCA DALOSANU
Date: Sep 27 / 99

COMMENTS: Regarding WATER APPLICATION

File 3 00074932 (NW 1/4 21-21-29W4)

My husband and I we do not want
this thing to happen.

Thank you
Regards

Handwritten signature

Bianca & George Palosanu

424 7A Street NE.

Calgary, Alberta

T2E 4G1 tel: (403)237-8857 home or (403)716-3187 Bianca's office

September 24, 1999

Alberta Environment

Natural Resources Service – Bow Region

Floor 2, 3115 – 12 St. NE.

Calgary, Alberta

T2e 7J2

Dear Sir or Madam,


Re: Notice of Application File 3 00074932 (NW1/4 21-21-29W4)

At the end of July of 1999, my husband and I, bought Lot 2 (SW1/4 28-21-29W4) from Ridgewood Development Company, Mr. Steve and Mrs. Jola Hanhart, and our home is currently in the process of being build. We are very concerned with the application of Mr. Cal Harvey for ground water and divert up to 16,724 cubic meters of water annually for commercial purpose. We are very concerned with the fact that this will withdraw a significant amount of water from our well also and this will be a significant risk to our domestic water supply. We did not buy this peaceful place to start having problems with the most important thing – the water. As a result, My husband and I, we request that the above application to be refused.

Thank you very much for your attention and for your time.

Sincerely


Bianca Palosanu


George Palosanu

Done

PAGE 1

FROM: ALEXANDER & ROSE OTREMSKY
BOX 10, SITE 1, RURAL ROUTE 1,
DE WINTON, ALBERTA T0L 0X0.

LEGAL: SE 28-21-29-W4 PLAN 9110475 LOT 1.

DATE: 28 SEPTEMBER, 1999

TO : ALBERTA ENVIRONMENT,
NATURAL RESOURCES SERVICE -
BOW REGION,
FLOOR 2, 3115-12 STREET N.E.,
CALGARY, ALBERTA T2E 7J2.

FAX: (403) 297 2749.

REF: FILE NUMBER: 00074932.

DEAR SIR/S,

WITH REGARD TO THE APPLICATION FILED
BY ONE, CAL HARVEY; THROUGH YOUR ABOVE
MENTIONED FILE NUMBER; WE ARE TOTAL
AGAINST THE LICENCE TO DIVERT WATER
(OF ANY CUBIC CAPACITY) FROM A WELL/OR
WEIRS OF SAID LAND (NW 21-21-29 W4)
FOR COMMERCIAL PURPOSES.

PRECIOUS WATER IS A PRECIOUS COMMODITY ON ANY
ACREAGE; AND FOR A NEIGHBOUR TO
EXPLOIT THIS HUGE AMOUNT DAILY WILL
SURELY DRASTICALLY LOWER THE WATER TABLE.

CAUSING UNTOLD SUFFERING TO ALL AROUND.
WATER IS NEEDED DAILY; ~~FOR~~ NOT ONLY FOR
HUMAN ~~CONSUMPTION~~ CONSUMPTION ^{AND DAILY USE}
BUT ~~AS~~ ALSO FOR OUR ANIMALS; WHICH WE
RELY ON AS OUR LIVELIHOOD.

THE VALUE OF OUR ACRES IS BIND TO
THE AMOUNT OF WATER OUR LAND CAN
PRODUCE FOR US TO LIVE. SHOULD OUR
WATER WELLS DRY UP; WE WILL HAVE LAND
OF NO VALUE. WE CANNOT ~~FREE~~ WATER OUR
ANIMALS, ~~AND~~ THE PASTURES WILL SUFFER,
AND THE REST OF THE VEGETATION WILL
SLOWLY WITHER.

FURTHER MORE; TO COMPOUND THIS WE HAVE
A LARGE GREENHOUSE BUSINESS OPERATING
JUST NORTH WEST OF US ^{WHICH} ~~WILL~~ SEEMS TO
BE EXPANDING AT A RAPID RATE. THEY
HAVE TO USE A LOT OF WATER AND
COMBINED WITH THIS PRESENT APPLICATION
I ~~SEE~~ FORESEE A DISASTER.

LASTLY, THE MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF FOOTHILLS
NO. 31 HAS JUST PLACED THEIR COMBINED
ASSESSMENT & TAX NOTICE FOR THE YEAR
OF 1999 AT C\$2,637.97.¢; AND I
HAVE NO INTENTION TO SEE MY PROPERTY
VALUE OR LIFE STYLE DE-VALUED IN
ANY WAY.

THANK YOU

ALEXANDER & ROSE OTREMSEY